

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
EASTERN DIVISION**

JOHN F. CURRAN, III,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 23-1064-STA-jay

CARL A. FRONABARGER,

Defendant.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Before the Court is the United States Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation that Plaintiff's Motion to Set Aside Orders (ECF No. 95), Motion to Amend Reply Brief (ECF No. 98), and Motion for Evidentiary Hearing (ECF No. 99) be denied. Plaintiff has filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report. (ECF No. 103.) Defendant has not filed a response to the objections. Upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation and the entire record of the proceedings, the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge correctly set forth the applicable law and cogently applied it to the disputed issues; thus, his decision was not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). The Court hereby **ADOPTS** it in its entirety, and the motions set forth above are **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ S. Thomas Anderson
S. THOMAS ANDERSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: March 5, 2025